



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/384,073	08/26/1999	WATARU ISHISAKI	0671.63110	7351

7590 03/28/2002

PATRICK G BURNS ESQ
GREER BURNS & CRAIN LTD
SEARS TOWER SUITE 8660
233 S WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

SAX, STEVEN PAUL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2174

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	09/384073	Applicant(s)	Isik, Isik
Examiner	Sax	Group Art Unit	2174

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address--

Period for Response

3 -

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- This action is FINAL.
- Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

- The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

- received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.
- received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s)

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined.
2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sigona (5694150) and White et al (5982351).
4. Regarding claim 1, see Sigona et al: the abstract, Figure 6, column 3 lines 15-25. Note the graphical user interface with input monitoring means for location and actuation of an input device. See also column 3 lines 45-50 and column 10 lines 30-39 and note how a number of consecutive actuations of the input device in a given time interval, as well as duration time of input events, are ascertained. As a result of this interface windowing events are caused (column 6 lines 5-14 and 47-57), which implicitly includes menuing features. Nevertheless, Sigona et al do not specifically show that these input events determine the selection (and subsequent display) of a menu, but only that they determine a windowing event of some sort. The motivation thus mentioned in Sigona

Art Unit: 2174

et al is to ease the burden of input management and make input operations acted upon more efficiently. Now, see White et al: Figure 4, column 5 lines 8-30. This shows the management, selection, and displaying of menus based on input monitored events. Note again in column 5 lines 7-14 and 33-42 that the motivation for this is to ease the burden of input management and to make input operations (such as a single stroke) acted upon more efficiently. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to do the menu management, selection, and display such as in White et al, on the basis of input monitored events such as the consecutive actuations and input event duration as in Sigona et al, because it would ease the burden of input management and make input operations acted upon more efficiently in a graphical user interface system.

5. Regarding claim 2, in addition to the aforementioned, note in White et al column 3 lines 9-15 the alert message. This is in response to a user input manipulation, and thus would be the indicator of the input events which thus would cause a menu selection as described above.

6. Regarding claim 3, in addition to the aforementioned, see in Sigona et al: Figure 7 and column 5 lines 13-19 and 39-46. The cursor position is determined at each event and if the difference is greater than a threshold, the events are considered not consecutive and the counter (and alert indicator) are not incremented. See column 10 lines 35-45.

Art Unit: 2174

7. Regarding claims 4-5, in addition to the aforementioned, note in White et al column 5 lines 17-22 that the display control positions the cursor on a region of the menu.

8. Regarding claims 6-9, these show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons. Note that a duration may be defined as the time between two events. Also, regarding claim 8, note that Sigona et al show a windowing system (column 6 lines 27-34) and White et al show a full menu managing system (column 5 lines 13-17).

9. Claims 10-12 show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons.

10.. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Art Unit: 2174

(703) 746-7238 After Final Communication

(703) 746-7239 Official Communication

(703) 746-7420 For Status Inquiries, draft communication

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

9.

le

STEVEN SAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier)

6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings **MUST** be filed within the **THREE MONTH** shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, **MUST** be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings **MUST** be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.